Abstract—Although the brushless DFIM has great potential as generator system in large-scale wind turbines, its complexity has so far retained a commercial breakthrough. This paper contributes by combining brushless DFIM FE modelling with multi-objective optimization. A static brushless DFIM FE model is applied with the NSGA-II multi-objective optimization algorithm. The result is an accurate and fast brushless DFIM design optimization tool. This tool is then used to generate a machine design with optimized performance, that fits a fixed volume frame size D180. Optimization results of different brushless DFIM construction variations are compared. The best designs of each construction variation are then analysed in more detail using a time-stepping brushless DFIM FE model. This provides good insight in the effects of different construction variations on torque ripple and induced time-harmonics. The optimized design of the best construction variation will be selected to be manufactured as prototype machine.

Index Terms—Brushless Doubly-Fed Machine (BDFM), Cross coupling, DFIG, FE modeling, Harmonics, Multi Objective Optimization, Nested-loop Rotor, Torque Ripple.

I. INTRODUCTION

WIND technology has majored over the last decades. This has led to a substantial amount of installed wind capacity from commercially developed wind turbines worldwide. The current trend of taking wind turbines off-shore increases the need for more reliable and fault-tolerant wind turbine generator designs [1]. That is why the brushless Doubly-Fed Induction Machine (DFIM) has gained an increasing research interest in recent years. This machine type has the same operating characteristics as the normal DFIG, commonly applied in wind turbines. However, this machine provides several additional advantages, which include [2]:

- No brush gear and slip rings; increasing robustness and reliability, while decreasing the need for maintenance.
- A low-speed machine-type; enables the reduction of the number of gear stages, which increases reliability.
- Improved capabilities to comply with current grid-code requirements [3].

Despite its potential as generator system for large scale wind turbines the brushless DFIM has never been commercially exploited.

The brushless DFIM consists of two stator windings with different pole-pair numbers, one stator winding, the Power-
algorithm to improve the power to weight ratio of a brushless DFIM design. However, this approach lags the accuracy of FE modeling.

This paper contributes by combining brushless DFIM FE modelling with multi-objective optimization in order to create a fast, accurate and flexible brushless DFIM design optimization tool. The proposed design method uses the fast solving magneto-static brushless DFIM FE model from [11] in combination with the NSGA-II multi-objective optimization algorithm [13]. This design method is then used to generate a machine design with optimized performance, that fits a fixed volume frame size D180. Optimization results of different brushless DFIM construction variations are compared. The best designs of each construction variation are selected and are analyzed in more detail using a conventional time-stepping brushless DFIM FE model. This provides additional insight in the effects of different construction variations on torque ripple and induced time-harmonics. One optimized design will be selected to be manufactured as prototype machine for further studies.

II. BRUSHLESS DFIM DESIGN OPTIMIZATION

A. Optimization Procedure

For optimization of electrical machines there are many variables to consider. Those can include construction variations, as well as geometric variables. Additionally, several optimization objectives can be determined. The brushless DFIM optimization procedure is schematically presented in figure 2. Based on the input parameters, containing machine construction parameters, geometric parameters and material properties, the complete machine geometry is calculated using a geometric model developed in Matlab. This ensures that the complete machine geometry is parameter based and therefore more suitable for optimization. Using a Matlab-Comsol interface, the machine geometry is then loaded to the magneto-static brushless DFIM FE model developed in Comsol [11]. The FE model analyses the machines nominal performance. For optimization the NSGA-II (non-dominated sorting genetic algorithm II) is applied [13]. This well-known evolutionary based algorithm is able to determine Pareto optimal solutions to multi-objective problems. A first population of \( N \) machine designs is generated and evaluated by the magneto-static brushless DFIM FE model. Each individual design varies based on a set of provided geometric input variables \( V \). All \( N \) machine designs are evaluated according the optimization objectives and a new generation of \( N \) machine designs is generated from the best (fittest) individuals of the previous generation.

B. Optimization of a Brushless DFIM Prototype Design

The study in this paper focusses on the performance optimization of a prototype brushless DFIM design that fits a fixed frame size D180. Since the volume of this machine is fixed the brushless DFIM design will be optimized for both power/torque and efficiency. Table I provides an overview of fixed design parameters. Since the brushless DFIM is a synchronous machine type, it’s nominal operating point depends on the rotor position relative to the stator magnetic field. For the provided PW and CW current density levels, maximum torque is generated at a rotor position shift \( \gamma_{shift} \) of \( 1/4^{th} \) of a rotor nest span, this point is selected as the machines nominal operating point.

The optimization is performed for different brushless DFIM construction variations. These construction variations are presented in table II. Here \( p_p \) and \( p_c \) are the number of pole-pairs for respectively the power- and control-winding. \( N_{ss} \) is the number of stator slots, which affects the number of slots per pole per phase of both power- and control-winding. \( q_r \) is the number of loops per rotor nest. The optimization algorithm parameters and optimization variables, are presented in table III. Since the machine volume is already fixed the number of geometric optimization variables \( V \) will be limited.

![Fig. 2: Brushless DFIM design optimization procedure.](image-url)
For this study both output power and efficiency are selected as optimization objectives. There will be a trade-off between electromagnetic output power and efficiency, more efficient machine designs will result in less electromagnetic output power.

The optimization results are presented in figure 3. The optimization for each construction variation as presented in table II, leads to a Pareto front of optimization results, along the efficiency $\eta$ and electromagnetic output power $P_e$ or Torque $T_e$ axes.

From figure 3a can be observed that brushless DFIM constructions with higher pole-pair numbers result in machine designs that generate lower power at lower efficiency. This is obvious, since machines with higher pole-pair combinations rotate at lower mechanical speed. Therefore it is better to compare machine variations based on generated torque, as is done in figure 3b. Furthermore can be observed that a varying number of loops per nest $q_r$ and number of stator slots $N_{ss}$ seem to have little influence on the nominal performance. However, it can be expected that these parameters will affect the level of torque ripple and harmonic pollution of a machine design. From figure 3 can further be concluded that a brushless DFIM design with pole-pair combination $p_p = 2$ and $p_c = 3$ yields the best performance in terms of efficiency and generated torque. However, a brushless DFIM with this pole-pair combination is subject to unbalanced magnetic pull [14]. For small prototype machines such as a D180 frame size, unbalanced magnetic pull is expected to be less harmful to the machine. Therefore the machine construction variation with $p_p = 2$ and $p_c = 3$ seems to be the favourable construction variation for the prototype machine design.

For this construction variation, the construction variations with pole-pair combination $p_p = 1$ and $p_c = 3$ and the construction variations with pole-pair combination $p_p = 2$ and $p_c = 4$, a most suitable machine design candidate. Resulting in three machine design candidates selected from the optimization results (blue dots in figure 3). These three optimized machine design candidates of different pole-pair combination will additionally be analysed with a time-stepping brushless DFIM FE model. This will be discussed in the next section.
III. COMPARISON OF BRUSHLESS DFIM CONSTRUCTION VARIATIONS

From the optimization results three machine designs with different construction variation were selected for further evaluation. Because of the natural rich space-harmonic spectrum of the brushless DFIM, effects as torque ripple and time-harmonic distortion can be more severe. These effects depend mostly on the selected construction variation [9]. Using a time-stepping brushless DFIM FE model, the selected machine designs are modelled and simulated in their nominal operating point for 2 rotor electric periods $f_{re}$. The resulting power- and control-winding voltages, rotor currents and torque responses are presented in figures 5 till 8. Figure 4 presents the 2D geometries of the three selected machine design candidates, including their magnetic field lines at time = 0.

Using (1) the torque ripple can be determined from the simulation results (Figure 8). The mean torque $T_{mean}$ and torque ripple $T_{ripple}$ comparison results are presented in table IV.

$$T_{ripple} = \frac{T_{e,max} - T_{e,min}}{T_{e,mean}} \cdot 100\%$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)
The severity of induced time-harmonic components in the induced power-winding voltage $e_{PW}$, control-winding voltage $e_{CW}$ and in the currents of the rotor nested loops is compared by calculating the Total Harmonic Distortion $(THD_F)$:

$$THD_F = \frac{\sqrt{\sum_{h=2}^{\infty} V_h^2}}{V_1} \cdot 100\% \quad (2)$$

The comparison results of the $THD_F$ of the power- and control-winding voltage and the currents in the different rotor nested loops are presented in Table V.

From the comparison results in Table IV and V it can be observed that all brushless DFIM design variations suffer from an excessive space-harmonic spectrum. While the results show clearly that the selection of a suitable combination of pole-pairs for a brushless DFIM design can reduce the detrimental effects of a rich space-harmonic spectrum, additional measures should be taken to reduce the torque ripple and induced time-harmonics even more. This could be achieved by applying skew to the rotor, as is also done in most other electrical machines. It is worthwhile to remark that the harmonic distortion of the control-winding voltages also depends on the control-winding frequency $f_{CW}$. The main component of the control-winding voltage $e_{CW}$ is proportional to it’s frequency, however the induced time-harmonic components are not. Therefore, if the control winding frequency approaches zero the control-wining voltage $THD_F$ will goes to infinity.

From the comparison results it can be concluded that the brushless DFIM of construction variation 2 (with $p_p = 2$ and $p_c = 3$), produces the highest electromagnetic torque and the lowest torque ripple. Also the harmonic distortion of the voltage signals is lowest. Resulting in less grid pollution and a more stable control. Therefore a brushless DFIM of construction variation 2 can be considered the most favourable for small machine types such as a frame-size D180 prototype machine. Further, from table V can be observed that the loop span of the loops in a nested-loop rotor influences the level of

### TABLE IV

**Brushless DFIM Torque Ripple Comparison**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construction Variation</th>
<th>$T_{mean} (Nm)$</th>
<th>$T_{ripple} (%)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1: $p_p = 1, p_c = 3$</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>59.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2: $p_p = 2, p_c = 3$</td>
<td>74.6</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3: $p_p = 2, p_c = 4$</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>38.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE V

**Brushless DFIM Harmonic Distortion Comparison**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construction</th>
<th>$THD_{FW} (%)$</th>
<th>$THD_{FC} (%)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$e_{PW}$</td>
<td>$e_{CW}$</td>
<td>$I_{r1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1: $p_p = 1, p_c = 3$</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>154.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2: $p_p = 2, p_c = 3$</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3: $p_p = 2, p_c = 4$</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>45.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
harmonic distortion. This could be used in future research for optimization of the loop-span of rotor inner loops.

IV. Conclusion

This paper combined multi-objective optimization with a magneto-static brushless DFIM FE model. The result is a fast, flexible and accurate machine optimization approach that can be used for brushless DFIM design purposes. This novel design approach was then used for the design of a fixed frame-size D180 brushless DFIM prototype machine. This machine design was optimized for both maximum generated electromagnetic power/torque and efficiency. The optimization was performed for several brushless DFIM construction variations with different pole-pair combinations, different numbers of loops per rotor nest and different number of stator slots. Within these construction variations, only the chosen pole-pair combination seems to have a significant influence on the obtainable electromagnetic torque and efficiency output performance. For each considered brushless DFIM construction, with a different pole-pair combination, the best design candidate was selected from the optimization results for further analysis with a time-stepping brushless DFIM FE model. Three different machine construction variations were evaluated in this manner to determine the effects of torque ripple and time-harmonic distortions of the voltage and currents. It can be concluded that the chosen pole-pair combination also has a large influence on torque ripple and induced time-harmonics. A brushless DFIM construction with a pole-pair combination of \( p_p = 2 \) and \( p_c = 3 \) seems to be favourable over other construction variations with different pole-pair combinations. This construction variation generates the highest output performance as well as the least torque ripple and induced time-harmonics. Therefore, the optimized design of this brushless DFIM construction variation is selected to be manufactured as prototype machine for future studies.
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